

# Why We Cannot Support The Billy Graham Crusade By E. L. Bynum

Why We Cannot Support  
The Billy Graham Crusade  
By E. L. Bynum

## A Matter Of Conscience

It would be much easier to remain silent on the Billy Graham issue. For a number of years he has been one of the 10 most admired men in the United States, according to polls. He has been close to the top in popularity and he has no rivals in the field of religion. Without a doubt, he is the most popular preacher the world has seen in the 20th Century. He has been a frequent visitor to the White House during the time that several presidents have occupied the office. He seems to have been the unofficial chaplain to both President Johnson and President Nixon. It is certain that opposition to Billy Graham is not the pathway to popularity and praise.

We like the way that Billy Graham declares many truths contained in the Word of God. We have heard him deliver sermons that thrilled our heart. Many things that he says, cannot be faulted. His dynamic platform delivery, has a tendency to grab the heart of the Bible believer. We thank God for all the good that has come from his ministry. We rejoice in every lost soul that has come to know Christ, through his preaching, or through the preaching of anyone else.

But as a matter of conscience, we cannot endorse the ministry of Billy Graham, nor can we cooperate in a Billy Graham Crusade. Our reasons are based upon the Word of God!! We cannot remain silent when this ministry of compromise is being used to destroy the very foundations of the Christian faith. There is a reason why apostates and carnal religious leaders are so enthusiastic about their support of Billy Graham. Billy Graham is pumping warm blood into the dead ecumenical movement and helping to build the coming one world church. When the ecumenical liberals hit a snag in bringing about organic union of the denominations, they saw in Billy Graham an opportunity to break down the stubborn bars of separation, through the medium of evangelism. It is working quite well for them. We might add that the charismatic movement is being used in the same way.

In this tract it will be our purpose to show why Bible believing Christians should not support Billy Graham. Some will read no further, since they believe that the practice of Billy Graham is above criticism. However, we feel duty bound to produce the facts, so that the Lord's people may know the practice of this modern day apostle of compromise. We shall not judge his salvation, or even his motives, but we shall compare his words and actions with the teaching of the Word of

God.

Billy Graham made his profession of salvation under the fundamental ministry of Evangelist Mordecai Ham. He attended briefly, Bob Jones University, a fundamental interdenominational school, before dropping out and enrolling in the fundamental school in Florida. He then went on to Wheaton College, another fundamental school that has drifted into the stream of the New Evangelical Movement. After graduating from Wheaton College, in 1945 he became the traveling representative of the newly organized Youth for Christ movement. In 45 and 46, he traveled over the U.S. and Europe organizing and speaking for Youth for Christ. He was known as a fundamentalist at that time and in 1947 he was chosen by Dr. W. B. Riley to be his successor as president of Northwestern Schools in Minneapolis. Dr. Riley was a militant fundamentalist and it seems inconceivable that he would have chosen Graham, unless he was sure that Graham was fundamental.

The Los Angeles Crusade and Graham's Rise to Fame! It was in 1949 during his first Los Angeles Crusade, that the world became aware of Billy Graham.. It is said that he was sponsored by fundamental pastors as well as Youth for Christ and other groups. Large crowds attended and some Hollywood entertainers (notably Stewart Hamlin) and many others responded to the invitation. At that time William Randolph Hearst, head of the vast Hearst newspaper chain, sent a telegram to all his newspaper editors containing two words, "Puff Graham". The three week meeting lasted eight weeks and Graham received nation wide coverage in the newspapers and in some of the largest magazines.

Graham Has Changed His Beliefs in the Last 25 Years.

In this section we intend to quote things that Billy Graham said in the late 40's and early 50's which contradict his doctrine and practice today. In 1948 the World Council was scheduled to meet in Amsterdam and Graham was going as an unofficial observer. He was asked, "Billy, what do you expect the World Council to do in August?" He said, "I believe they are going to nominate the Antichrist." NOW Graham is a regular speaker at the World Council of Churches meetings and utters warm words of praise for this apostate group. In March 1951, "The Pilot", monthly magazine of Northwestern Schools carried an advertisement of a book by Harry Emerson Fosdick. In the April issue, Billy Graham, the editor said, "We sincerely regret that the ad on the back cover of the March issue of the 'Pilot' carried an advertisement of a book by Dr. Harry Emerson Fosdick . . . The 'Pilot' represents the orthodox, conservative, fundamental logical position. We do not condone or have fellowship with any form of modernism. Dr. Fosdick's position is well known as that of an extreme modernist. We do not commend his writings to our reading public." NOW, Billy associates with the liberals, and quotes them with pleasure.

In 1952, Dr. Graham wrote in a letter to John R. Rice, "Contrary to any rumors that are constantly floating about, we have never had a modernist on our Executive Committee and we

have never been sponsored by the Council of Churches in any city except Shreveport and Greensboro . . . both small towns where the majority of ministers are evangelical . . .” NOW, Graham will not hold a crusade unless the modernists are a part of the meeting and assist in the organization. In 1951, Jerry Beaven, a Graham organization man, wrote Dr. R. T. Ketcham and assured him that no decision cards were sent to Roman Catholic Churches and that Graham did not work with modernists. NOW, Graham openly works with modernists, commends them, and also sends decision cards from his meetings to Roman Catholic and other apostate churches. In 1954, Evangelist Jack Wyrzten and other evangelical leaders invited Billy Graham to hold a crusade in New York City, with their sponsorship. Instead he accepted the sponsorship of the notoriously liberal Protestant Council. In 1956, he called these liberals and infidels, “Godly men seeking to reach New York’s vast population with a testimony of Christ.” In 1957, while speaking to the N.A.E. and smarting under some criticism, he said, “I intend to go anywhere, sponsored by anybody, to preach the Gospel of Christ, if there are no strings attached to my message.” We do not imply that Billy Graham’s earlier ministry was completely scriptural, but we will say that the old Billy Graham is much to be preferred over the new Billy Graham.

#### Billy Graham and Catholics

In 1948, Graham said, “The three gravest menaces faced by orthodox Christianity are communism, Roman Catholicism, and Mohammedanism,” NOW, he is continually saying nice things about the Catholics. In 1963 Dr. Graham spoke at Belmont Abbey College, a North Carolina Catholic school. On Nov. 21, 1967, he returned to Belmont Abbey to receive an honorary degree. At that time he made a shocking statement. He said, that he “knew of no greater honor” than the receiving of this degree. Then the shocker comes when he said, “The gospel that built this school and the gospel that brings me here tonight is still the way to salvation.” Billy Graham surely knows that the gospel did not build that school, for they never preached the gospel! Why would he say such a thing?

In Milwaukee, 10/21/73, Dr. Graham said: “This past week; I preached in a great Catholic Cathedral, a funeral sermon for a close friend of mine who was a Catholic and they had several bishops and archbishops that had come from all over the country, to participate, and as I sat there going through the funeral mass, that was a very beautiful thing. And certainly straight and clear in the gospel that I believe, as far as the death and resurrection of Jesus Christ. There was a wonderful little priest that would tell me when to stand and when to kneel and what to do . . .” “The 1972 Franciscan International Award for True Ecumenism” was presented to Billy Graham April 21, 1972 at Minneapolis. Dr. Graham was selected to receive the coveted award by leading Catholic laymen and women in the Twin City area and delegates of the Franciscan Order for “his sincerity and authenticity in presenting the Gospel of Christ.”

A disturbed Roman Catholic wrote Graham about some of the changes going on in the Catholic Church. In his answer, Graham wrote, "Above all, don't pull out of the church! Stay in it, stay close to the Lord, and use these experiences as an opportunity to help your church be what God intends and what the world needs." What an answer for a Baptist preacher to give. This was published in his newspaper column, "My Answer".

Billy Graham says: "Anyone who makes a decision at our meetings is referred to a local clergyman, Protestant, Catholic, or Jewish." (1957) Roman Catholic Cardinal Cushing said: "I am 100% for the evangelist . . . I have never known a religious crusade that was more effective than Dr. Graham's. I have never heard the slightest criticism of anything he has ever said from any Catholic source." (1964) In 1963 Billy Graham said that he had a Roman Catholic bishop stand beside him and bless the "converts" as they came forward in Sao Paulo, Brazil.

The Graham Organization and the co-operating churches in the San Francisco Crusade appointed Dr. Farrah to follow up the "converts" and report on the same. He reported that of over 1,300 Catholics who came forward, "practically all remained Catholic", continued to pray to Mary, went to Mass and confessed to the priest. (Sword of the Lord, 7/2/64.)

"The Catholic Voice" of Oakland, the official diocesan paper of the Roman Catholic Church said of the Billy Graham "converts", "If he is a Catholic, he is referred to a Catholic church." (1971)

The Superior of the Pontifical Biblical Institute in Rome says: "The Catholic will hear no slighting of his Church's teaching authority, not of papal . . . prerogatives, no word against mass or sacraments or Catholic practices. The Catholic . . . will hear little if anything he cannot agree with." (1972) The press was told prior to the Graham Paris Crusade that those converts

"requesting reaffirmation in the Catholic faith would be turned over to the Catholic Church."

We could go on and on showing how Graham compromises with the Catholics. If Jesus had compromised with the false religion of His day in the same manner, He would have been crowned and not crucified. If Paul had done the same, he would have been a hero and would never have suffered stoning or been put in jail. No preacher that preaches the whole truth will ever receive the approval of the Roman Church. Graham does preach some truth, but he is an expert at knowing which truth to leave out in order to gain the support of Catholics, modernists and evangelicals.

**Billy Graham and Ecumenical Modernism**

Billy Graham, more than any one man, is being used to break down the barriers and to pave the way for the Ecumenical One World Church that is prophesied in the Bible. He is aiding and abetting the enemies of Biblical Christianity in a number of ways. There is so much evidence of this, that it is difficult to know where to begin.

Billy Graham said: "The great theologians of today are Rudolph Bultmann, Karl Barth, Emil Brunner, Reinhold Niebuhr, Paul Tillich, and Carl Henry." This statement is in Billy's booklet,

“Evangelism and the Church Today”, which was sent to the ministers in the Los Angeles area prior to his crusade in that area. Carl Henry is the only one of the above men that might be said to believe the Bible. Even Henry is a leader in the new evangelical movement. All the rest are modernists or neo-orthodox. Why does Graham brag on these blasphemers of the Word of God? We can only quote a little evidence, because of space problems. Biebuhr said: “My view is that the virgin birth doesn’t make a bit of difference. I can’t get angry at anybody for holding it, but on the other hand I certainly don’t hold it myself.” Niebuhr was one of the leaders of Union Theological Seminary of New York, a school that is notorious for its liberalism and communistic leanings. Paul Tillich was a modernist who did not believe in the virgin birth, the sinless life and the bodily resurrection of Christ. Since his death, Tillich’s second wife has written a book telling about his illicit relationships with his secretary and other women who came to him for counselling. A former student of Tillich’s has written a book that tells of Tillich’s immoral relationship with the student’s fiancée. Time after time you will find Graham quoting with approval some statement made by a modernist.

In 1951, Billy Graham was denouncing modernists, including Harry Emerson Fosdick, former pastor of Riverside Church, New York City. But by 1957 in his New York Crusade, Graham honored Dr. Robert J. McCracken, Fosdick’s successor at Riverside Church, by having him sit on the platform and having him lead in prayer before the crusade audience. Yet, McCracken is an infidel like Fosdick, and does not believe in the virgin birth, the blood atonement, His bodily resurrection or the inspiration of the Bible. John Sutherland Bonnell, pastor of the Fifth Avenue Presbyterian Church in New York City, wrote an article that was published in “Look” magazine. He stated in this article that it was not necessary for a Presbyterian minister to believe in the virgin birth. Graham knew about the article and professed to a friend that he was offended by it. However, later on when he held his New York Crusade, Bonnell was on the executive committee and was honored by Graham on the platform of the Crusade.

When the results of the New York Crusade were tallied up, we find that 135 decision cards were sent to the modernistic Riverside Church where McCracken was pastor. The largest number of cards to go to any church was 373, and these went to the Marble Collegiate Church pastored by Norman Vincent Peale, who does not preach the gospel. Psychology is Peale’s stock in trade. In Graham’s San Francisco Crusade, he honored the notorious liberal Bishop James A. Pike, by having him on the platform and to lead in prayer. He also appeared at Grace Cathedral with Pike. Yet Pike had written in “Look” magazine, expressing himself as not believing in the fundamentals of the faith. Pike in a pastoral letter that was to be read in all the Episcopal Churches of his diocese, stated that “Religious myth is one of the avenues of faith and has an important place in the communication of the Gospel.” He spoke of the “myth of the Garden of Eden.” He said, “The virgin birth . . . is a myth which churchmen should be free to accept or

reject.” Later on Pike quit the ministry and Graham should have never praised such an infidel. In Graham’s 1963 Los Angeles Crusade, Methodist Bishop Gerald Kennedy was chairman of the crusade committee. Yet, Kennedy has denied just about everyone of the fundamentals of the Christian faith. His printed endorsement is found on the jacket of Nels Ferre’s book, “The Sun and the Umbrella”. He said, “Nels Ferre has given us the clue for the truly universal Christianity.” In this book Ferre said, “Jesus never was nor became God” (p. 112). He was not preexistent, Ferre says, “Such is the nature of the grand myth which at its heart is idolatry” (p 83). Ferre wrote another book entitled “The Christian Understanding of God.” In this book he said, “We have no way of knowing, even, that Jesus was sinless . . .” In this book he promotes the theory that Jesus may have been the son of a German soldier. Yet, Graham’s campaign chairman endorsed Ferre and his book. In fact Graham said on August 21, 1963, “Bishop Kennedy is one of the ten greatest Christian preachers in America.” The first Sunday of the Crusade, Graham took 10 minutes to eulogize Dr. E. Stanley Jones, who is a deluxe modernist and proves it in his book on Mahatma Gandhi.

After the Nashville Crusade, a large sum of money was donated out of Crusade funds to Vanderbilt University to help build a stadium. The above Nels Ferre was on the Vanderbilt faculty at the time.

Over and over again, Graham has spoken before the National Council of Churches and the World Council of Churches. They do not invite him because he is hurting their cause. In one address before the National Council he said, “My wife is a Presbyterian. Her denomination is in the National Council so perhaps I am here by marriage.” He went on to assure them Paul’s admonition in II Cor. 6:17, could not be applied to the National Council. He is against separation from the modernists.

In 1952, before 10,000 in Pittsburg, he endorsed the Revised Standard Version, which is owned and copyrighted by the NCC. He said, “These scholars have probably given us the most nearly perfect translation in the English.” It is one of the worst translations. He has also boosted “The Living Bible” which is a paraphrased monstrosity that is being promoted as the “Bible”.

Is He Ecumenical?

The answer to this can be found in the statements made by ecumenical leaders.

“I call Billy Graham the newest and greatest of the ecumenical voices,” so said Dr. G. Paul Musselman, Executive Director of the Department of Evangelism of the National Council of Churches in 1960. “This is the greatest ecumenical occasion that New Zealand has ever known . . .”, said O.T. Baragwanath, Moderator Elect of the Presbyterian Church in New Zealand. This was published in the Auckland Star, March 8, 1969 and he was speaking of the Graham Crusade. From a report by Professor H. Hanko on Graham’s Lausanne Congress on Evangelism: ” . . . once again Evangelicalism has taken a giant stride in the direction of using evangelism as a



means to further the cause of ecumenicity. This was begun with the whole Key '73 Program; it is continuing at Lausanne; what shall be the next step in that direction time will tell." Christian News, 10/14/74. At a press conference in Los Angeles Graham said, "There is a great need for an ecumenical Christian body much broader in scope than either the World Council of Churches or the National Council of Churches." F.E.A. November 1972. Billy has described himself as "an evangelical with an ecumenical heart."

Only eternity will reveal how many people Billy Graham has led into the ecumenical movement, and how many he has encouraged to stay in it because of his ministry of compromise.

#### Billy Graham and the Charismatics

Although Graham is not a charismatic, he has aided their cause on a number of occasions.

Recently, when he was asked about speaking in tongues, he said that he had never received the gift, but it was a wonderful experience for those who had.. In Graham's Berlin Congress on World Evangelism, Oral Roberts was a prominent speaker. Billy went out of the way to make him welcome, by standing on the platform with Roberts arm in arm and by personally introducing him. Billy Graham was the dedication speaker at Oral Roberts University, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and he said at that time, "This is the greatest moment of my life". Later on he appeared with Oral Roberts in a joint television broadcast that was broadcast across the nation. He has promoted Corrie ten Boom, a woman preacher, by making a movie of her life. She is a charismatic and makes light of those who are looking for the Second Coming of Christ at any time.

#### Billy Graham and worldly Entertainers

Billy Graham has used worldly entertainers time after time in his Crusades. We will mention only three. Johnny Cash has been used many times by Graham. Yet, Johnny Cash is still working the night club circuit. He has been with Graham one week and the next week he may be appearing at a Las Vegas night club. Burl Ives sings for Graham and then goes to the plush Reno night club for an engagement. Pat Boone is a charismatic who still entertains at the night clubs and bunny clubs, yet Graham has used him to sing at his Crusades.

#### Is Billy Graham Doctrinally Sound?

The above record gives ample proof that he is not doctrinally sound, but we will present further evidence. In the April 1972 issue of Graham's own "DECISION" magazine, he wrote an article on "Heaven & Hell." In it, Graham said: "Could it be that the fire Jesus talked about is an eternal search for God that is never quenched? Is that what it means? That, indeed would be hell; to be away from God forever, separated from his presence." No, Mr. Graham, that is not what Jesus meant!! Graham seems to have doubts about the fires of hell, but Jesus meant fire when he said fire. Another glaring error can be found in the idea that man may be searching for God. Man does not seek God, either here on earth or in hell. " . . . There is none that seeketh after God."

Romans 3:11.

For years Graham lived in North Carolina, but kept his membership in the First Baptist Church of Dallas, largest of all Southern Baptist Churches. What if all the Baptists in North Carolina had done the same? Even though he claims to be a Baptist, we doubt if any sound Baptist Church would want a pastor with Graham's qualifications. After all these years of being married to "Baptist" (?) Billy, his wife is still a member of a Presbyterian Church that is a member of the apostate National Council of Churches. She teaches a class in her Church. All of Graham's children have been sprinkled except one. So his Baptist influence has not been very strong on his wife.

He tells people to make a "decision" or to "commit" themselves, instead of emphasizing conviction, repentance and being saved by the new birth. In a letter dated February 29, 1968, Rev. Martindale, Spiritual Counselor of Graham's home office wrote: "Mr. Graham believes that we are saved through the blood of Christ, however, this aspect of Christian doctrine he does not emphasize in his messages. This is the duty and prerogative of the pastors." How sad!!

#### Billy Graham and Communism

In 1948, Graham said, "The three gravest menaces faced by orthodox Christianity are Communism, Roman Catholicism, and Mohammedanism." My how he has changed! In 1963, he was asked about charges made by some about Communist Infiltration of the churches, he replied with a grin, "I don't personally know of anyone. I don't go around looking for Communists . . . I look for sinners and that his everyone." In an interview with German news magazine, "Der Spiegel", Graham said: "For years I have not spoken about that (communism) . . . I cannot go round the world and say who is right and who is not right."

On May 28, 1973, a shocking article appeared in English language Mainichi Daily News in Japan. He is quoted as saying, "I think communism's appeal to youth is its structure and promise of a future utopia. Mao Tse-tung's eight precepts are basically the same as the Ten Commandments. In fact if we can't have the Ten Commandments read in the schools, I'll settle for Mao's precepts." When someone wrote the Graham headquarters, asking about this quote, one of Graham's staff answered the letter. He tried to say that it was due to an error in exchange of language by saying, "Unfortunately, Dr. Graham speaks no Japanese, and the reporter did not speak very good English. Hence, he missed some of the thoughts that Dr. Graham tried to express." However, when Missionary Timothy Pietsch called Maureen D'Honau on the telephone, he found out that "he" was a she and spoke flawless American English and that she was born in America. She verified that Graham said exactly what she wrote in the newspaper. Graham avoids being identified with any anti- communist, but does not hesitate being identified with people who are soft on communism. Many of the modernists that he fellowships with have



been identified as pinks, fellow travelers and communist sympathizers!!

The Word of God rebukes Billy Graham

No man is above being rebuked by the Word of God. Peter was saved and filled with the Holy Spirit and had been used of God at Jerusalem on the day of Pentecost, but Paul rebuked him to his face (Gal. 2:11-14). Billy Graham's type of evangelism cannot be found in the Bible. In fact the indictment of Billy Graham's doctrine and practice can be easily found in the Word of God.

1. The Bible says to "try" them (spirits and false prophets), but Billy Graham fellowships with them. "Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world." (1 John 4:1)
2. The Bible says to "mark them" (speaking of those who teach false doctrine), but Billy Graham compliments the Pikes, Jones and other apostates. "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine which ye have learned; and avoid them." (Romans 16:17)
3. The Bible says to "rebuke them", but Billy Graham praises those who are unsound in the faith. "This witness is true. Wherefore rebuke them sharply, that they may be sound in the faith." (Titus 1:13)
4. The Bible says "have no fellowship", but Billy Graham has fellowship with all kinds of false doctrine. "And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather reprove them." (Eph. 5:11)
5. The Bible say, "withdraw yourselves", but Billy Graham draws near to false teachers and those who walk disorderly. "Now we command you, brethren, in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye withdraw yourselves from every brother that walketh disorderly, and not after the tradition which he received of us." (II Thess. 3:6; Please read I Tim. 6:3-5).
6. The Bible says, "from such turn away", but Billy Graham turns away from those who are true to the faith, unless they will disobey the scriptures and fellowship with modernists. If they do this they are no longer true to the faith. "Having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof: from such turn away. . . these also resist the truth: men of corrupt minds, reprobate concerning the faith." (II Tim. 3:5,8)
7. The Bible says, "receive them not", but Billy Graham receives them on his platform and sends his converts to them. By doing this he becomes a partaker of their evil deeds. "If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed: For he that biddeth him God speed is partaker of his evil deeds." (II John 10,11)
8. The Bible says, "an heretic . . . reject", but Billy Graham insists that modernist heretics be included on the Crusade committee. "A man that is an heretic after the first and second admonition reject." (Titus 3:10)
9. The Bible says, "be ye separate", but Billy Graham is against religious and ecclesiastical

separation from the apostates. “Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you.” (II Cor. 6:17)

#### Excuses For Graham’s Compromise

Many have sought to defend Graham by various forms of reasoning. None of these excuses will stand up under the light from the Word of God. We will note a few of these excuses and show the false reasoning behind them.

Excuse #1. We should not deny liberals and Catholics the right to hear the gospel. Answer: No one that we know wants to deny the gospel to these people. We object to their being recognized as Christian brothers and promoted as officials in Graham’s Crusades. It is one thing to invite them to come and hear the gospel, it is another thing to recognize them as sponsors of evangelism.

Excuse #2. Mr. Graham is an evangelist and his chief interest is in reaching lost souls. Answer: If he is really interested in lost souls, he should obey the scriptures, for in trying to make the end justify the means, he is trying to improve on God’s way. All true ministers are exhorted to “earnestly contend for the faith”. (Jude 3,4)

Excuse #3. We must show love for these men as Jesus said, “By this shall all men know that ye are my disciples, if ye have love one to another.” (John 13:35). Answer: But John 14:23 says, “If a man love me he will keep my words.” Much that goes under the name of love today, is nothing but sentimental slop. In John 13:35, Jesus was talking to saved men who believed the Word of God. Even Judas Iscariot had left the disciples in verses 30,31. Jesus was not talking to modernists and false religious leaders. “Charity (love) . . . doth not behave itself unseemly.” (I Cor. 13:4,5).

Excuse #4. Billy Graham does not compromise or cut his message to suit the crowd. Answer: He most certainly does cut his message. If he preached the whole truth, he would lose his modernist supporters as well as all the new evangelical crowd. If he preached the message of Paul, he would be thrown out of the modernist churches, just as Paul was thrown out of the synagogues. John the Baptist and Jesus spoke clearly and plainly to the religious theological liberals of their day. They called them vipers (snakes) and whited sepulchers. (See Matthew 3:7; 12:34; 23:13-39). Mr. Graham fellowships with this same class of men today. Jesus spoke to the unbelieving religious leaders of His day and told them the truth..”Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do.” (John 8:44). They claimed to be Abraham’s children, but Jesus told them who they were. Would to God that Billy Graham had the conviction and courage to speak to the lost religious leaders of this generation in the same honest way.

#### Pray For Billy Graham

The Lord’s people everywhere need to pray for Billy Graham. Pray that he will forsake the way of compromise and popularity and return unto the Word of God. Pray that he will separate himself

from the liberals, Catholics and Charismatics. Pray that he will begin to preach all of the Bible and rebuke sin. Pray that he may be delivered from his entangling alliances. He is endowed with talent and ability, but pray that he will submit to the authority of the Word of God. Pray that he will cease in helping to build the ecumenical church.

#### The Worst Sin Today

“Paganism has made many efforts to disrupt the Christian faith, but never a more insistent or insidious effort than it is making today. There are three possible attitudes which you may take in the present conflict. In the first place, you may stand for Christ. That is the best. In the second place, you may stand for anti-Christian Modernism. That is next best. In the third place, you may be neutral. That is perhaps worst of all. The worst sin today is to say that you agree with the Christian faith and believe in the Bible, but then make common cause with those who deny the basic facts of Christianity. Never was it more obviously true that he that is not with Christ is against Him.” J. Gresham Machen (1924).

[Return to Baptist History and Gallery Writings](#)