

# “AMERICA back to the Bible or back to the Jungle”

*Posted by Del Wray*

This is one of those articles that are not for the “faint of heart” or the squeamish when it comes to accepting what the Bible says over what the news media demands you believe. So be forewarned: if you are moved by **“every wind of doctrine”** (see Eph. 4:14) put out by CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN, NPR, Time magazine, Newsweek, the Gannett string of daily newspapers, the NEA, the ACLU, Obama, Hillary Clinton, and the Democratic Congress, put this article down before you work yourself into a “hissy.” A short time after I was saved, I picked up this little saying from another Christian: “Back to the Bible or back to the jungle.” I was interested in it because when I got saved, one of the things on which I got straight was the insanity of evolution. It occurred to me that everything I had studied about evolution was simply the law of the jungle. Darwin taught that we all came from wild animals; wild animals are found in the jungle. A basic premise of Darwin’s religion is called “survival of the fittest”: it is me first and you next. The law of the jungle is the law of “tooth and claw”; i.e., “progress” is made by killing one another. That’s how the “fittest” get into the best position for “survival.” That’s how all mankind developed according to evolution. As I studied further, I realized the pit of evolution was much deeper than I thought. Every dictator in the Twentieth Century was an evolutionist. I finally read a book on the subject entitled *The Christian’s Handbook of Science and Philosophy* in which the writer went into these matters in some detail; he quoted what the warlords, the economic barons, and the international bankers thought of evolution and how they applied it. Now what you need to realize about why people accept evolution is very simple. It has nothing to do with “science” because evolution defies three basic, demonstrable laws of science known to man: the First Law of Thermodynamics (matter and energy cannot be created or destroyed), the Second Law of Thermodynamics (things get worse; they don’t get better), and the Law of Biogenesis (life begets life; there is no “spontaneous generation”). Evolution is simply man siding with the animals so he will be free of any moral responsibility to his Creator. You see, animals are amoral: they have no morals.

A Christian over in England “crossed swords” once with one of these idolaters who worshipped Darwin. The Christian observed: “This teaching (evolution) is nothing but a cleverly cooked-up alibi to make us more independent of our Creator than we already are.” Precisely. Some reporter asked Julian Huxley one time why scientists accepted Darwin’s theory of evolution so readily. Huxley replied, “I suppose we jumped at the Origin (i.e., Darwin’s *Origin of Species*) because the idea of God interfered with our sexual mores.” That is, the scientists who accepted evolution had the morals of an alley cat. Animals have no “rights” or “wrongs.” The goal of the NEA in America since the days of FDR has been to raise your children as animals so that they come out with no morals. Each “animal” is to esteem himself so highly that he is the final arbiter of “values” for himself. If along the way he does something “wrong,” he doesn’t have to worry about being hurt for it because corporal punishment is out. You train the kiddies to be wild animals where “might is right.” (Murphy’s Law: “Those who live by the sword will perish by those who have guns.”)

That is the entire content of an American education from kindergarten to post-graduate work when dealing with society. All animals have three goals and three goals only: self-preservation (me first, you next), self-propagation (Huxley's "sexual mores"), and self-gratification (reasonable comfort and pleasure). There are no Ten Commandments, no Golden Rule, and no Bible. My, though, what a change you have when you get into the Bible! You haven't gone nine chapters before you run into a man named Ham: Ham had a sex problem. When Noah awoke from his drunken stupor, he realized **"what his younger son had DONE UNTO HIM"** (Gen. 9:24). Ham committed a sin against his father connected with his father's nakedness just like you read in Leviticus 18 (which see). Ham's sin was a sexual sin which became named after his descendants in Sodom and Gomorrah (Gen. 10:19–20). Noah cursed Ham's youngest son (Canaan—Gen. 9:25)—even though it was his daddy who committed the sin—because Ham's sin had to do with his seed (the seed included all Ham's descendants just like Abraham's seed included all his descendants— Gen. 13:6, 15:5). Ham's sin was sodomy, so his descendants are destroyed for that sin (Gen. 19). Ham's "seed" winds up in two places: the land of Canaan and Africa (Africa is called **"the land of Ham"** in Psa. 105:23, 27; 106:22). It is Egypt in northern Africa where the Jews ended up as slaves under Pharaoh. Pharaoh was an African; the children of Israel were set free from Africans. When God called Israel out of Africa, He sent them to the land of Canaan: Canaan was the son of Ham God cursed. Do you know what the orders were for the Israelites concerning those Canaanites? It was genocide (Deut. 7:1–2). Look it up! Do you ever read your Bible? Do you know why God commanded genocide against those people? Well, among other things, they, like the Egyptians (Lev. 18:3), were guilty of gross sexual sins (Ham has a sex problem): incest (Lev. 18:7–15), adultery (Lev. 18:20), bestiality (Lev. 18:23), and SODOMY (Lev. 18:22)—everything Obama is pushing with "LBGT" (Lesbians, Bisexuals, Gays, and Transgender). God said those sins defiled the land and made it vomit out its inhabitants (Lev. 18:24–25); that's why He wanted those people destroyed. Ham's sin was considered so bad that New Testament salvation didn't change God's opinion of it a bit. God said that anyone who practiced that perversion was **"worthy of death"** (Rom. 1:26–32). Don't believe me; look up the passage and READ IT! It wasn't like shrimp or pork (Lev. 11) that was cleansed by God after the death of His Son as a picture of salvation going to unclean Gentiles (Acts 10 cf. Eph. 2:11–13). It was a moral abomination that God classified with fornication, adultery, idolatry, covetousness, drunkenness, extortion, blasphemy, and MURDER (1 Cor. 6:9–10 cf. Gal. 5:19–21). Obama and the faggots don't know about what they're talking. Now that is what God has against **"the land of Ham."** Early in my Bible studies, I used to wonder why God didn't want Abraham in Egypt (Gen. 13), why Jacob didn't want to be buried in Egypt (Gen. 47:29–31), why Joseph didn't want his body to remain in Egypt (Gen. 50:24–25), why God called Israel out of Egypt (Exod. 3:8), why God didn't want His people going back down to Egypt (Deut. 17:16), and why He called His own Son out of Egypt (Matt. 2:15).

God is so much against Egypt that He won't bless any "Bible" that comes from there (Jer. 44:26). Do you know from where the corrupt manuscripts come which are the basis for apostate versions like the Septuagint, the Vulgate, the Jesuit Rheims Version of 1582, and all modern English translations (RV, ASV, RSV, NASV, NIV, ESV, etc.)? They were from Alexandria, Egypt in Africa. God is against that place because Ham has a sex problem. Do you know what you find over there in Cairo, Egypt, next to those pyramids? You find the Sphinx. That thing is a hermaphrodite: it is half man and half woman. It's a double sodomite containing both sexes: unisex. And on top of that, it is part animal (you know what I mean, jelly bean, Lev. 18:23?).

Ham has a sex problem. Now that is what Obama and the Democrats in the Senate and the Congress are trying to force on the American people right now. It's a throwback to a culture so backwards that with three million years to "progress" (all evolutionists say that the oldest "person" ever discovered on this earth with any likeness to a human being was a black woman from Kenya, Africa: Leakey's "Lucy"), Europe went by it as if it were standing still. Evidently, there was something wrong with "Lucy's" background! With the largest coastline of any continent on earth, "Lucy" couldn't produce any navy without Shem's help (Phoenicia). With the greatest pile of lumber, timber, and rainforests in the world, she had no lumber industry. With more gold and diamonds than in Russia, China, and America combined, she's been bankrupt for 2,000 years and had to live off Europe the whole time. I wonder why any African who got to America would want to go "back to Africa"? How many blacks that stayed in Africa made over a million dollars a year bouncing a ball around and shooting hoops, hoops? How many blacks who stayed in Africa ever made over a million dollars running around with a pigskin under their arms or boxing in a ring or telling dirty jokes to people or singing dirty lyrics? How come black Africans had to leave Africa to get the money?

You know something, if you didn't know any better ("political correctness"), you'd almost make a "stereotype" out of Africa. Why, you might even call it "The Dark Continent." One thing is for certain, though: whether you are afraid to make a "profile" or "stereotype" because you live in a country that censors free speech, there is no rush of blacks here in America, whose ancestors were brought over here in slave ships by the white man, to go back from where their people came. What? No desire for the "homeland" after talking about "black pride" and "black history month" and "the first black" to do this or that? (Question: what took you so long? You had over a million-year head start on the white folks.) Why no desire to "return to your roots"? What makes you think the white man's place is better to live than the land from where you came? To repeat our title: "Back to the Bible or back to the jungle." It's an African jungle where no descendant of Ham in his "homeland" ever got a hold of a Bible till Japheth brought it to him or him to it brought to America at a time when the King James Bible was THE textbook for all the schools in all the States). Since JFK (1961–1963), America has officially thrown the Bible out of every Federal (formerly "public") school entrusted with raising the children of Christian families by refusing to let it be read or quoted in the schools. It was either going to be back to the Bible or back to

the jungle: It was back to the jungle. The problems in the public schools went from talking in class, chewing gum, and running in the hallways to rapes, assaults, drugs, promiscuity, abortions, venereal diseases, and MURDER. Although no teacher could read or quote a Bible to a student, he or she could teach the child (as low as kindergarten in some cases) about condom use, "safe sex," how to practice sodomy, and bestiality. The last blow was delivered by an African from Kenya (where Leakey found "Lucy"). It was a law passed to arrest and fine anyone who taught or preached what the Bible said about blacks, women, and queers (Hate Crimes Act); and the all-out push by the Federal government (all three branches) to legalize Ham's original sin (sodomy). You are, at last, back to the original "homeland" of the human race, according to the Association for the Advancement of Science and National Geographic Magazine. How do you like the wild animals in the rainforest of America? Reaping what you so, and "a nation that forgets God is turned into Hell"

**America seems to have chosen back to the jungle.**

*Pastor Del Wray*